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Comments:
The work is very well done. Text of the thesis corresponds exactly with the topic. Theoretical background is complete and well described. In the analysis part I miss suppliers as one of the indicators of the quality of Mura products. Project part contains all the important ingredients that are used for the optimal strategy for entering the Austrian market. I recommend this thesis to be defended in front of the committee.

Questions for the defence:
Why have you not used the SWOT matrix to evaluate the internal and external factors and their relationship? It could help you to focus on main problems.
Where do you see the biggest obstacle to make the project successful?

The thesis meets the criteria for the defence of the MT.

The thesis does not meet the criteria for the defence of the MT. (At least one criterion assessed by 0 points.)
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