Tomas Bata University in Zlín Faculty of Applied Informatics SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION OF THE MASTER'S THESIS

Student: Chibuike Kennedy Aneke

Supervisor: Ing. Petr Chalupa, Ph.D.

| Study program: | Engineering Informatics |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Study course/Specialization: | Information Technologies |
| Academic year: | 2023/2024 |

Mobile Application for Reading and Evaluation of Numbers Master's Thesis topic:

Evaluation

| Eva | luation: | Α | B | С | D | Ε | F |
|-----|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------|----|
| | | Eva | luatio | n: | | | |
| | | A – | Best; | F - U | nsatis | facto | ry |
| 1. | Fulfilment of all points of the assignment | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| 2. | Suitability of chosen resolution methods | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| 3. | Division of work (chapters, subchapters, paragraphs) | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| 4. | Working with literature and citations | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| 5. | Level of linguistic elaboration | \boxtimes | | | | | |
| 6. | Formal level of work | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| 7. | Theoretical part elaboration quality | | \boxtimes | | | | |
| 8. | Practical part elaboration quality | | | | \boxtimes | | |
| 9. | Achieved results of the work | | | \boxtimes | | | |
| 10. | Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation | | | | \boxtimes | | |
| 11. | Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor | | \boxtimes | | | | |

Result of the plagiarism test:

The work was assessed in terms of plagiarism with the result 4% identity. Work is not plagiarism.

Overall evaluation of the thesis:

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient. Grade F also means "I do not recommend this thesis for defence."

I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation: C - Good. In the case of an "F – Insufficient" grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.

All points of the assignment were fulfilled. Some part of the thesis should be worked out more carefully: the table of content is not updated correctly, list of appendicies is incomplete. Description of the final software can be more deiled. Especially programmers who want to use this work would appreciate a more detail insight to the code.

Date: 24. 5. 2024

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: