The master thesis topic was formulated as part of cooperation between the FAI TBU and CROSS, a.s. The company provided illustrative data that should be analyzed and (statistically) processed and some suggestions and conclusions should be made as a result of the thesis. Student complied with the
most of the assigned parts of work, however, some of the thesis guidelines should be better elaborated (e.g., points 5, 6 and partially 7).

My main objections relate to the student's communication that was very rare. As a result, the student has worked under a time pressure during last months and, at the end, the thesis results were not properly discussed with the company that was one of the main assigned tasks. On the other hand, this shows that the student is able to work independently on various assigned difficult projects. The other important objection is regarding totally bad work with citations as there are no citations in the text at all and so no reference from the list of references was cited (then, it is quite unclear which parts are adapted and which are original).

Based on my particular grades and comments I recommend the thesis for the defence and suggest evaluation E. However, the student should answer and defend the following questions and issues based on the stated thesis guidelines:
1. Is there any feedback from the company regarding the developed solution and obtained results (point 5)?
2. The thesis does not provide any clear discussion with regards to the so-called smart city projects (point 6). Please discuss.
3. Clearly discuss the main findings of the work (point 7).
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