



**SUPERVISOR'S EVALUATION OF THE BACHELOR'S THESIS**

**Student:** Barzani Milad Sulaiman Safari

**Supervisor:** prof. Roman Šenkeřík, Ph.D.

Study program: **Software Engineering**  
Study course/Specialization: -  
Academic year: **2022/2023**

Bachelor's Thesis **Blockchain technology in Business Logic**  
topic:

**Evaluation:**

	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>	<b>F</b>
Evaluation: A – Best; F - Unsatisfactory						
1. Fulfilment of all points of the assignment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Suitability of chosen resolution methods	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Division of work (chapters, subchapters, paragraphs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Working with literature and citations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Level of linguistic elaboration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Formal level of work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Theoretical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Practical part elaboration quality	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Achieved results of the work	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Contribution of the thesis and its exploitation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Cooperation of thesis author with the supervisor	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Result of the plagiarism test:**

The work was assessed in terms of plagiarism with the result 8% identity (main pdf in formal parts). Work is not plagiarism.

**Overall evaluation of the thesis:**

The resulting mark is not the average of all of the abovementioned evaluations. The mark is awarded by the thesis supervisor according to their deliberations and the ECTS classification scale:

A – Excellent, B – Very good, C – Good, D – Satisfactory, E – Sufficient, F – Insufficient.  
Grade F also means “I do not recommend this thesis for defence.”

**I recommend this diploma thesis for its defence and suggest the following evaluation:**

**C - Good.**

**In the case of an “F – Insufficient” grade, provide comments and the shortages of the thesis and the reasons for this assessment.**

The thesis formally fulfils all the points of the assignment. It is a brief and condensed description of a project falling within the application of modern Web3 (blockchain) technologies. I positively evaluate the choice of the actual topic and, towards the end of the work on the bachelor thesis, also the very active approach of the student to the consultation. Unfortunately, however, the student focused too much on the application itself, the demonstration of the technology, and the description of the theory and implementation was postponed, which resulted in a shorter description in terms of content and a number of formal, grammatical errors, typos and minor illogical statements. Some

chapters could be better organized so that the reader does not have to search, for example, for an explanation of the originality and contribution of the work, as the end paragraph of another chapter. Overall, however, this is a relatively successful project describing in brief and compressed way the necessary components for its eventual replicability.

Date: 5. 6. 2023

Thesis Supervisor's Signature: